



Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2017

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level in Business Studies (WBS03) Paper 01 Strategic Business Decisions





Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.btec.co.uk. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2017
Publications Code WBS03_01_1706_MS
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2017



General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.



Section A: Data response

Question Number		
1 (a)	What is meant by the term 'customers'?	2 marks
		Mark
	 Knowledge – up to 2 marks: the persons or organisations that actually make the purchase (1) of goods/services from a business (1) 1 mark for partial or vague definition but a valid example lifts to 2 marks. Any other suitable alternative. 	1-2

Question Number		
1 (b)	What is meant by the term 'annual revenue'?	2 marks
		Mark
	 the total value of sales/price x quantity sold (1) made within a trading period of one year (1) 1 mark for partial or vague definition but a valid example lifts to 2 marks. Any other suitable alternative. 	1-2



Question Number		
2	Explain the importance of mission statements for a business such as <i>SurveyMonkey</i>	6 marks
		Mark
	(Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2)	
	Knowledge/understanding: up to 2 marks are available for knowledge/understanding why a business such as SurveyMonkey has mission statements	1-2
	e.g. to direct or stimulate members of the organisation to work/operate to a given company ethos (1) which is designed to inform or impress customers and other external stakeholders (1)	
	Application: up to 2 marks are available for contextualised answers based on the mission statements such as those in Evidence B	1-2
	e.g. SurveyMonkey wants to help its customers conduct their own research (1) so that they can make better decisions (1)	
	Analysis: up to 2 marks are available for developing reasons/causes/consequence of mission statements	1-2
	e.g. Employees will be more motivated as they understand the aims of the business (1) SurveyMonkey is hoping that its stakeholders will form a better impression/image of the business as a result of reading its mission statements (1)	



Question Number		
3	Analyse the corporate culture at SurveyMonkey.	8 marks
		Mark
	(Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4)	
	Knowledge/understanding: up to 2 marks are available for showing understanding of the corporate culture at <i>SurveyMonkey</i>	1-2
	The evidence suggests that the corporate culture is directed by the thoughts and opinions of CEO, Dave Goldberg (1) valuing and developing employees (1)	
	Application: up to 2 marks are available for contextualised answers	1-2
	e.g. 24 hour support/service (1) 99.5% customer satisfaction (1)	
	Analysis: Candidate analyses using reasons/causes/ consequences/costs of the corporate culture described in the evidence	1-4
	e.g. Customers become the main focus of the business (1) satisfying customer needs is demonstrated by high levels of customer satisfaction (1) which has contributed to increased revenues (1) delivered by well motivated employees (1)	



Question Number			
4	Recruit expens	foldberg states that "Recruiting talent is eight the wrong talented person is even movine." (Evidence C)	ore
		the extent to which Dave Goldberg's opinuted to the success of SurveyMonkey.	nion nas
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of basic terms. Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear	e.g. recruitment is finding suitable new employees and can be done internally or externally
2	3-4	Application: basic points developed to show awareness of Goldberg's recruitment policy Material is presented in simple, logical, easy to follow way but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence	e.g. a willingness to recruit inexperienced people e.g. a willingness to train people to fit the culture of <i>SurveyMonkey</i> e.g. a willingness to invest long-term in an employee
3	5-7	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give reasons/causes/costs /consequences of the recruitment policy espoused by Dave Goldberg N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2 Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence	e.g. as Chief Executive, Dave Goldberg's opinion will carry a lot of weight, and has given a clear direction for recruitment e.g. as a result of following this strategy, SurveyMonkey has ended up with a very strong team of committed employees e.g. this strategy has resulted in a high degree of employee loyalty, as the business has trusted them and spent time/money training/investing in them



4	8-10	Evaluation must be present and in context, i.e. a candidate balances the positive impact of Dave Goldberg's opinion against the potential downside	e.g. there are risks in the strategy described, as the business could spend a lot of time and effort in training a person, who may then leave
		N.B. if evaluation is not in context, limit to Level 3 Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found but the writing has overall clarity and coherence	e.g. unproven workers may have already reached their highest level of achievement and may never reach the level that the business expects
		clarity and coherence	e.g. some recruits may never be able to fit in with the culture at SurveyMonkey e.g. some recruits may have all the training but never achieve the productivity expected



Question Number				
5	To assist customers who need to make an objective decision, SurveyMonkey publishes examples of decision tree questionnaires on its website, based on a series of simple yes/no questions.			
	business	the usefulness of decision trees when m s decisions.		
Level		Descriptor	Possible content	
1		Knowledge/understanding of the nature and purpose of decision trees Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear	e.g. a decision tree way of setting out o available, that will le where a decision can e.g. a decision tree which will enable a o made, based on con rather than ignorance	ptions ead to a point n be made is a device decision to be sideration,
2		Application must be present, i.e. candidate must give a contextualised answer Material is presented in simple, logical, easy to follow way but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence	e.g. SurveyMonkey examples for its cus e.g. candidate uses of decision tree	tomers
3		Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give reasons/causes/costs /consequences of using a decision tree to make decisions N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2 Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence.	e.g. a decision tree decision based on a sequence of consider to questions involved decision, rather than random decision with necessary considerate.g. a decision tree the risks involved in wrong decision, as it user through a serie questions/steps whic conclusion e.g. it can be used to justification to a decision to a decision to be used readily/ more frequence difficult method decisions	logical bred answers d in a n making a hout the tion will reduce making a t takes the es of ch lead to a o give some cision re simple to terpret, they I more ently than



4	8-12	Evaluation must be present, giving
		the limitations of decision trees

Low Level 4: 8-10 marks. Evaluation must be present i.e. a candidate balances their answer by identifying the downside of using decision trees

High Level 4: 11-12 marks. Evaluation is developed to show a real perceptiveness on the part of the candidate. Several strands may be developed; the answer is clear and articulate, leading to a convincing conclusion

N.B. if evaluation not in context, limit to Level 3

Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found but the writing has overall clarity and coherence.

- e.g. it can be difficult to develop the right questions or options which should be taken into consideration when making the decision
- e.g. Decision trees may be good for making tactical decisions but are not suitable for making strategic decisions
- e.g. the quality of the outcome of a decision tree is dependent on the quality of the construction/sequence of questions entered, if these are not right a business may be misled into making a poor or wrong decision
- e.g. decision trees can become cumbersome/ unwieldy/overly complex if too much data is entered
- e.g. the decision is limited to the data used



Section B: Essay questions

Question Number				
6	Evaluat	te the growth strategy used by <i>SurveyM</i>	lonkey.	20 marks
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible content	
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of what is meant by company growth Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear	e.g. the development of a business which organic, by expandi within its own resour inorganic, through reakeovers and acquiring takeovers and acquiring takeovers.	may be ng from rces or nergers,
2	3-6	Application must be present, i.e. candidate must give a contextualised answer which may be based on past company growth Low Level 2: 3–4 marks. Candidate give one or two examples of application High Level 2: 5–6 marks. Candidate gives more than two examples of application Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence	e.g. in its early days appeared to be orgated achieving \$30m from e.g. since 2009 Surreported to have has sustained buying' e.g. raising \$250m financing grew the befinancial terms e.g. with the \$250m SurveyMonkey is experienced to have has sustained buying' e.g. raising \$250m financing grew the befinancial terms e.g. with the \$250m SurveyMonkey is experienced to the sustained buying the beginning of the beginning of the sustained buying the beginning of the begi	enic, m start-up veyMonkey is d a 'period of in equity business in n funding, pected to overs'
3	7 -12	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must give reasons/causes/costs/consequences of the company growth exhibited by SurveyMonkey Low Level 3: 7-9 marks. Analysis limited: only 1or 2 reasons/causes/costs or consequences are outlined High Level 3: 10-12 marks. Analysis is more developed: 2 or more reasons/causes/costs and/or consequences are outlined and developed N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2	e.g. as a result of D Goldberg's statement of Evidence D, it appears the business is plant through both inorgates organic strategy. e.g. the reason for linorganic growth appears to take competitors market. e.g. as a result of the raised, Evidence E, SurveyMonkey has a formoney to fund growth through takeovers.	nt at the end pears that ning to grow nic as well nistoric pears to be out of the ne finance a large sum



	relevant a may not b Some pur errors ma	s presented in a generally and logical way but this be sustained throughout. Inctuation and/or grammar by be found which cause sages to lack clarity or	e.g. the 25 million people using SurveyMonkey, will provide a target market for increasing revenue, which will result in organic growth e.g. growth is not just inorganic, SurveyMonkey has acquired shares in other businesses, and is also growing organically by developing new products
4 13	context, of the natura SurveyMode Low Leve Some evaluation conclusion Mid Leve A judgem effort to somay have High Leve Convincing conclusion the busing as a result grown Several so the answelleading to the survey of the su	must be present and in considering the downside of e of company growth that onkey has experienced el 4: 13–14 marks. Aluative points are made, analysis of the business without arriving at a n/judgement el 4: 15–17 marks. Hent is attempted with some show that such rapid growth exits downside rel 4: 18–20 marks. He growth and the extent to which exits as become vulnerable at of the way that it has trands may be developed; her is clear and articulate of a convincing conclusion rel a way. Some punctuation frammar errors may be the writing has overall and coherence	e.g. with such high growth, the business could lose sight of its basic product, on-line surveys, which could be made available very easily by competitors, which could pick-off customers/revenue e.g. the reported high levels of organic and inorganic growth, will make it difficult to manage the overall growth of the business e.g. growth through investment, does not necessarily translate into growth in revenue, hence the need to 'bulk up' the features for the 25 million users e.g. like any DOT.COM business, growth is a 'bubble' as the business has no tangible assets such as plant/machinery/stock to protect the business if investors decide to withdraw their funding e.g. growth from start-up to \$2bn in 2014 is unlikely to be sustainable



Question					
Number					
7	Assess the extent to which contingency planning could have protected <i>SurveyMonkey</i> from shocks such as the death of its CEO, Dave Goldberg.				
Level	Mark	Descriptor	Possible conten		
1	1-2	Knowledge/understanding of what is meant by contingency planning, or 'shock' in the context of contingency plans Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear	so that the busi implement the purchase wrong or proble e.g. shock refers unforeseen even	kely possibilities, ness can plan if things go ems arise s to an at that is out of business, but has that business	
2	3-6	Application must be present, i.e. the candidate's answer must identify the shock, the potential extent of the shock, and any contingency plan that the business may have in place Low Level 2: 3–4 marks. Candidate identifies 1 or 2 aspects of the 'shock' of losing Dave Goldberg/chief exec High Level 2: 5–6 marks. Candidate identifies stakeholders and makes detailed references to more than 2 aspects of the 'shock' of losing Dave Goldberg/chief exec Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages that lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect clarity and coherence	the company to suddenly dead e.g. with the los	s of its chief siness of the size by would need ad up the diately ss very quickly terim CEO, ithin 5 days, had an interim	
3	7-12	Analysis in context must be present, i.e. the candidate must Give reasons/causes/costs/consequences of having contingency plans in place Low Level 3: 7-9 marks. Candidate will attempt a very basic analysis, making general points about the benefits of having contingency plans in place	e.g. stakeholde reassured that	nappens the ove quickly and g as normal rs would be the nvestment would ecause a	
		ı	1		



	High Level 3: 10-12 marks. Candidate makes a more detailed analysis, making specific points about the benefits of having contingency plans in place N.B. if analysis is not in context, limit to Level 2 Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence	e.g. the speed which the interim CEO was in place would mean that customers/employees would not notice any major change as a result of the death of Dave Goldberg e.g. the corporate strategy which has already been established by Dave Goldberg is likely to be maintained as Zander Lurie is already on the SurveyMonkey board of directors e.g. if the business has contingency plans in place the decisions have already been made and only need to be implemented thus saving time and money
4 13-3	Evaluation must be present and in context, based on the extent to which contingency planning may not have been useful following the shock of SurveyMonkey losing its CEO Low Level 4: 13-14 marks. Some basic evaluative points are made, referring to how there are some limitations to the extent that contingency planning will protect a business from shocks Mid Level 4: 15-17 marks. Candidate gives a range of comments on limitations to the extent that contingency planning will protect a business from shocks High Level 4: 18-20 marks. Candidate gives a wide range of arguments, to show how contingency planning may well be important and already in place for a business, but concluding that it cannot actually stop any shocks from affecting a business Several strands may be developed; the answer is clear and articulate leading to a convincing conclusion N.B. if evaluation is not in context, limit to Level 3	e.g. contingency planning cannot cover everything and does not prevent things from going wrong e.g. contingency plans might be out of date or too complex to be effective e.g. most evaluation of contingency planning would conclude that it is essential for a business, a bit like insurance – it needs to be in place, with the hope that it is never needed e.g. contingency planning is likely to be positive for the business unless its implementation was onerous and consequently ignored e.g. to be effective, contingency planning will need to focus on a realistic risk assessment and not concentrate on unlikely extremes such as earth being hit by meteor etc. e.g. there is a risk that the plan which brought about the swift appointment of an interim CEO/ Zander Lurie could upset employees/ customers/ stakeholders



Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation e.g. there is a risk that the and/or grammar errors may be contingency plan which resulted found but the writing has overall in the recruitment of new clarity and coherence. CEO/Bill Veghte may not be smooth/seamless as he will bring his own style/culture to SurveyMonkey which may upset employees/customers/stakehold ers e.g. although the contingency planning has helped SurveyMonkey find a replacement for Dave Goldberg quickly, it may have been too quick and the new CEO may not be able to manage the business as well as Dave Goldberg/there

may not be a good culture fit

with the new CEO

Question	knowledge	application	analysis	evaluation	TOTAL
1a	2	-	-	-	2
1b	2	-	-	-	2
2	2	2	2	-	6
3	2	2	4	-	8
4	2	2	3	3	10
5	2	2	3	5	12
6	2	4	6	8	20
7	2	4	6	8	20
TOTAL	16	16	24	24	80

