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## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

| Question | Define the term 'personal savings'. <br> Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1(a) | Knowledge 2 |  |
| Up to 2 marks for defining the term 'personal savings', e.g. |  |  |
| A source of (internal) finance (1) provided by the owner of a |  |  |
| business/personal money from the owner (1) |  |  |$\quad$ (2) |  |
| :--- |


| Question | Using the data in Extract A, explain one way the change in the contribution of the pillar candle may affect BHC's break-even point. <br> Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(b) | Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 1 <br> Quantitative skills assessed: <br> QS5: Calculate cost, revenue, profit and break-even <br> Knowledge <br> 1 mark for identifying a way, e.g. <br> - The break-even point may increase (1) <br> Application <br> Up to 2 marks for contextualised answers, e.g. <br> - At the lower variable cost, contribution was \$17.95-\$4.70 = \$13.25 (1) <br> - At the new/higher variable cost of $\$ 5.50$, a pillar candle contributes $\$ 0.80$ less OR the new contribution is $\$ 12.45$ (1) <br> Analysis <br> 1 mark for developing the impact, e.g. <br> - As each pillar candle contributes a lower proportion of the selling price towards paying off the fixed costs, a higher quantity of sales will be needed to break-even (1) | (4) |



| Question | Discuss whether BHC can successfully compete with larger candle making <br> businesses. <br> Indicative content |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1(d) | Indicative content guidance <br> Answers must be credited by using the level descriptors (below) in line with the <br> general marking guidance. The indicative content below exemplifies some of <br> the points that candidates may make but this does not imply that any of these <br> must be included. Other relevant points must also be credited. |
| Knowledge, Application, Analysis, Evaluation - indicative content |  |
| -The size of a business can affect the market share of competitors in a <br> - market |  |
| Large businesses can be very powerful, making it difficult for smaller <br> businesses to operate and attract customers |  |
| As large businesses can benefit from economies of scale, they can <br> charge lower prices, making them more competitive than smaller rivals <br> like BHC |  |
| Shipping costs may be cheaper when buying from a larger business, <br> again meaning that customers may prefer to buy from the larger <br> competitor |  |
| - BHC may not be able to cope with big orders, meaning it cannot satisfy |  |
| demand from some customers |  |


| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 1 | 0 | 1-2 |
| Level 2 | $3-5$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding - recall based. <br> Weak or no relevant application to business examples. <br> Generic assertions may be presented. |
| Level 3 | $6-8$ | Accurate knowledge and understanding. <br> Applied accurately to the business and its context. <br> Chains of reasoning are presented, showing cause(s) and/or effect(s) <br> but may be assertions or incomplete. <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented that is unbalanced and <br> unlikely to show the significance of competing arguments. |
| Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported <br> throughout by relevant and effective use of the business <br> behaviour/context. <br> Logical chains of reasoning, showing cause(s) and/or effect(s). <br> Assessment is balanced, well contextualised, using quantitative and/or <br> qualitative information, and shows an awareness of competing <br> arguments/factors. |  |  |


| Question | Assess the possible benefits for $B H C$ of using labour-intensive production methods. <br> Indicative content |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1(e) | Indicative content guidance <br> Answers must be credited by using the level descriptors (below) in line with the general marking guidance. The indicative content below exemplifies some of the points that candidates may make but this does not imply that any of these must be included. Other relevant points must also be credited. <br> Knowledge, Application, Analysis, Evaluation - indicative content <br> - Labour-intensive production requires a higher proportion of labour than capital <br> - It is usually more cost effective to use labour for production of smaller quantities than expensive machinery which may not be fully utilised <br> - It is likely to be quicker to change between making the different types of candles in the product range <br> - BHC may benefit from producing individual handmade items as they can be seen to have more value <br> - By using labour to produce candles, production can be more flexible <br> - Therefore, it can be easier to adjust to or from making more of the best-selling pillar candles <br> - Employees can be trained to produce candles according to the level of demand from customers and could make suggestions for improvements to increase efficiency <br> - However, labour can be more difficult to control, require motivation to be efficient and/or less predictable than machines <br> - This means they may demand higher wages, call in sick or resign unexpectedly, thus increasing costs for BHC <br> - If demand for candles made from beeswax increases, employees may not be able to keep up with demand and will need breaks and holidays whereas if capital-intensive production is used instead, it may be possible to do the work faster and with greater efficiency <br> - Once up and running, capital-intensive production can be cheaper and therefore better for long term production and growth <br> - It is possible that a combination of labour and capital-intensive production may be better for BHC if demand leads to the need to increase production |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Level } & \text { Mark } & \text { Descriptor } \\ \hline & 0 & \text { No rewardable material. } \\ \hline \text { Level 1 } & 1-2 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding - recall based. } \\ \text { Weak or no relevant application to business examples. } \\ \text { Generic assertions may be presented. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Level 2 } & 3-4 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the } \\ \text { business example. } \\ \text { Chains of reasoning are presented, but may be assertions or } \\ \text { incomplete. } \\ \text { A generic or superficial assessment is presented. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Level 3 } & 5-7 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported } \\ \text { throughout by relevant and effective use of the business } \\ \text { behaviour/context. } \\ \text { Analytical perspectives are presented, with developed chains of } \\ \text { reasoning, showing cause(s) and/or effect(s). } \\ \text { An attempt at an assessment is presented, using quantitative and/or } \\ \text { qualitative information, though unlikely to show the significance of } \\ \text { competing arguments. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Level 4 } & 8-10 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported } \\ \text { throughout by relevant and effective use of the business } \\ \text { behaviour/context. } \\ \text { A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing cause(s) and/or } \\ \text { effect(s). }\end{array} \\ \text { Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised, using } \\ \text { quantitative and/or qualitative information and shows an awareness of } \\ \text { competing arguments/factors, leading to a supported judgement. }\end{array}\right\}$

| Question | Define the term 'survey'. <br> Answer | Mark |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2(a) | Knowledge 2 |  |
| Up to 2 marks for defining the term 'survey', e.g. |  |  |
| A method of (primary) research (1) used to collect information (1) |  |  |$\quad$ (2)



| Question | Analyse two reasons why a boom or a peak in the business cycle may be beneficial to CoLearn. <br> Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(c) | Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 |  |
|  | Knowledge <br> Up to 2 marks for defining boom/peak, e.g. <br> - The high point in the business cycle (1) where GDP is growing quickly (1) |  |
|  | OR |  |
|  | For stating up to two reasons, e.g. <br> - It will be easier for businesses to expand (1) <br> - Prices could increase (1) |  |
|  | Application <br> Up to 2 marks for answers contextualised to CoLearn, e.g. <br> - CoLearn plans to offer lessons and videos for subjects other than mathematics (1) <br> - $81 \%$ of Indonesian students use their phones to do homework (1) |  |
|  | Analysis <br> Up to 2 marks for reasons/causes/consequences for CoLearn, e.g. <br> - As there will be more money in the economy there will be higher demand for additional e-learning (1) <br> - When more people are working, they may be more willing and able to pay a higher price for the lessons/videos (1) | (6) |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Question } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Discuss the likely impact of this increase in the monthly minimum wage on } \\
\text { CoLearn. } \\
\text { Indicative content }\end{array} \\
\hline \text { 2(d) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Indicative content guidance } \\
\text { Answers must be credited by using the level descriptors (below) in line with the } \\
\text { general marking guidance. The indicative content below exemplifies some of } \\
\text { the points that candidates may make but this does not imply that any of these } \\
\text { must be included. Other relevant points must also be credited. }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Knowledge, Application, Analysis, Evaluation - indicative content <br>
- A minimum wage is a type of employment legislation. It is the lowest <br>
amount a business can legally pay its workers <br>
- An increase in the minimum wage means an increase in labour costs <br>

for the business\end{array}\right\}\)| As the minimum wage in Jakarta has increased by 5.1\%, costs for |
| :--- |
| Colearn will increase, leading to a reduction in profit if revenue |
| remains the same |


| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 1 | 0 | 1-2 |
| Level 2 | $3-5$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding - recall based. <br> Weak or no relevant application to business examples. <br> Generic assertions may be presented. |
| Level 3 | $6-8$ | Accurate knowledge and understanding. <br> Applied accurately to the business and its context. <br> Chains of reasoning are presented, showing cause(s) and/or effect(s) <br> but may be assertions or incomplete. <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented that is unbalanced, and <br> unlikely to show the significance of competing arguments. |
| Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported <br> throughout by relevant and effective use of the business <br> behaviour/context. <br> Logical chains of reasoning, showing cause(s) and/or effect(s). <br> Assessment is balanced, well contextualised, using quantitative and/or <br> qualitative information and shows an awareness of competing <br> arguments/factors. |  |  |


| Question | Assess the benefits to CoLearn of using venture capital as a method of finance. <br> Indicative content |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2(e) | Indicative content guidance <br> Answers must be credited by using the level descriptors (below) in line with the <br> general marking guidance. The indicative content below exemplifies some of <br> the points that candidates may make but this does not imply that any of these <br> must be included. Other relevant points must also be credited. |
| Knowledge, Application, Analysis, Evaluation - indicative content |  |
| - Venture capital is a method of external finance, used to fund small- to |  |
| - medium-sized businesses |  |
| - It is often considered an alternative for businesses that are thought to |  |
| be too risky for other types of investment |  |
| - Venture capitalists would most likely be interested in investing in |  |
| CoLearn due to it being a technology business with a high growth |  |
| potential |  |


| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | No rewardable material. |
| Level 1 | $1-2$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding - recall based. <br> Weak or no relevant application to business examples. <br> Generic assertions may be presented. |
| Level 2 | $3-4$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the <br> business example. <br> Chains of reasoning are presented but may be assertions or <br> incomplete. <br> A generic or superficial assessment is presented. |
| Level 3 | $5-7$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported <br> throughout by relevant and effective use of the business <br> behaviour/context. <br> Analytical perspectives are presented, with developed chains of <br> reasoning, showing cause(s) and/or effect(s). <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented, using quantitative and/or <br> qualitative information, though unlikely to show the significance of <br> competing arguments. |
| Level 4 | $8-10$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported <br> throughout by relevant and effective use of the business <br> behaviour/context. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing cause(s) and/or <br> effect(s). <br> Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised, using <br> quantitative and/or qualitative information, and shows an awareness <br> of competing arguments/factors, leading to a supported judgement. |


| Question | Using the data in Extract D, evaluate whether Anzüge von Nicolaus (AvN) should <br> be concerned about its liquidity and working capital position in 2021. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Indicative content |  |$\quad$| Indicative content guidance |
| :--- |
| Answers must be credited by using the level descriptors (below) in line with the |
| general marking guidance. The indicative content below exemplifies some of |
| the points that candidates may make but this does not imply that any of these |
| must be included. Other relevant points must also be credited. |
| Knowledge, Application, Analysis, Evaluation - indicative content |

\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline - Having ratios (too high) above 1 could mean cash is not being used which <br>
could upset shareholders who would prefer it paid out to them or re- <br>

invested\end{array}\right]\)| Having high amounts of working capital could be a problem because it is |
| :--- |
| unlikely to be earning high rates of interest |


| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | No rewardable material. |
| Level 1 | $1-4$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding. <br> Weak or no relevant application of business examples. <br> An argument may be attempted, but will be generic and fail to connect <br> causes and/or consequences. |
| Level 2 | $5-8$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the <br> business example. <br> Arguments and chains of reasoning are presented but connections <br> between causes and/or consequences are incomplete. Attempts to <br> address the question. <br> A comparison or judgement may be attempted but it will not <br> successfully show an awareness of the key features of business <br> behaviour or business situation. |
| Level 3 | $9-14$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported <br> throughout by relevant and effective use of the business <br> behaviour/context. <br> Uses developed chains of reasoning, so that causes and/or <br> consequences are complete, showing an understanding of the <br> question. <br> Arguments are well developed. <br> Quantitative and/or qualitative information is introduced in an attempt <br> to support judgements, a partial awareness of the validity and/or <br> significance of competing arguments and may lead to a conclusion. |


| Level 4 | 15-20 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported <br> throughout by relevant and effective use of the business <br> behaviour/context. <br> Uses well-developed and logical, coherent chains of reasoning, <br> showing a range of cause and/or effect(s). <br> Arguments are fully developed. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Quantitative and/or qualitative information is/are used well to support <br> judgements. A full awareness of the validity and significance of <br> competing arguments/factors, leading to balanced comparisons, <br> judgements and an effective conclusion that proposes a solution <br> and/or recommendations. |  |  |

