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## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.


## Mark Scheme <br> WAC11 <br> Unit 1 <br> January 2021 <br> SECTION A

1 (a) AO1 (6), AO2 (13),
A01: Six marks for transferring balance and calculating new balance
A02: Thirteen marks for entries requiring calculation.

Borin and Priti
Statement of Profit or Loss and Other Comprehensive Income for the year ended 31 December 2020


Add Interest on drawings
Borin
400 (1) AO2
Priti
500 (1) AO2

$$
\frac{900}{23400}
$$

Less Interest on capital
Borin
2000 (1) AO2
Priti
2500 (1) AO2

> (4500)

Less Salaries:
Borin 9000
$(15000)(1) \mathrm{AO} 1$
3900
Share of profit:
Borin 2340 (1of) AO2 if
Priti
1560 correct split
3900
(19)
(b) AO2 (5)

A02: Five marks for calculations of total additions and deductions and calculating final balances.

|  | Borin | Priti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | f | £ |
| Opening balance | (200) | 2500 |
| Plus |  |  |
| Interest on capital | 2000 of | 2500 of |
| Salaries | 9000 | 6000 |
| Share of profit | 4770 of | 1560 of |
|  | 13140 (1of) AO2 | 12560 (1of) AO2 |
| less | All three | All three |
| Interest on drawings | (400) | (500) |
| Salaries paid | (9000) | (6000) |
| Drawings | (4000) | (5000) |
|  | (13 400) (1of) AO2 | (11 500) (1of) AO2 |
|  | All three | All three |
| Closing balance | 260 Dr | $\begin{aligned} & 1060 \mathrm{Cr} \text { (1of) } \\ & \text { AO2 } \end{aligned}$ |

## (c) AO1 (8), AO2 (3), AO3 (3)

A01: Eight marks for transferring balance and calculating simple balances
A02: Three marks for calculating correct figure and inclusion in correct section.
AO3: Three marks for correct carrying values and total partners salaries due

## Statement of Financial Position at 31 December 2020

## Non-current Assets


(d) AO1 (3), AO3 (2)

A01: Three marks for the cash and bank entries and the balance brought down.
AO3: Two marks for correctly recording the balances at 1 January.

Capital Accounts

| Date | Details | Borin | Priti | Date | Details | Borin | Priti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | £ | £ |  |  | £ | £ |
| 2020 |  |  |  | 2020 |  |  |  |
| 1 April | Bank | $\begin{aligned} & 25000 \\ & (1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 Jan | Balance b/d | $\begin{aligned} & 65000 \\ & \text { (1of) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30000 \\ & \text { (1of) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 Dec | Balance c/d | 40000 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & \hline 000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 Mar | Cash | - | $\underline{20000(1)}$ |
|  |  | 65000 | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\underline{50}} \\ & \underline{000} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\underline{65000}$ | $\underline{\underline{50} 000}$ |
|  |  |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1 Jan | Balance b/d | 40000 | 50000 (1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Both |

No own figures if capital at 1 Jan 40000 and 50000

| 1(e) | Potential arguments for inclusion <br> Additional capital will be introduced although this is limited. <br> Javid will take more responsibility for the management and ownership of the business. <br> As an existing manager Javid will be aware of how the business works. <br> Sharing risks with existing partners. <br> Can still bring in new ideas. <br> Potential arguments against inclusion <br> Profits may not increase greatly but must be distributed amongst three partners. <br> Javid's investment is modest and will not enable a major expansion of investment. <br> Javid must be consulted on all decisions made. Three partners would need to now agree making decisions more difficult to make. <br> This may lead to conflict between partners. <br> Decision <br> Candidates may conclude that the partnership is positive or negative. Candidates conclusion should be supported by an appropriate rationale. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mark | Descriptor |  |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |  |
| Level 1 | 1-3 | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding recall based. Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. Generic assertions may be present. |  |
| Level 2 | 4-6 | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Chains of reasoning are present, but may be incomplete or invalid. A generic or superficial assessment is present. |  |
| Level 3 | 7-9 | Accurate and thorough understanding, supported throughout by relevant application to the scenario. <br> Some analytical perspectives are present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes and/or effects. <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented, using financial and non-financial information, in an appropriate format and communicates reasoned explanations |  |
| Level 4 | 10-12 | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported throughout by relevant and effective application to the scenario. A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects. Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised using financial and non-financial information and makes informed recommendations and decisions. |  |

## 2(a) AO1 (8), AO3 (2)

A01: Eight marks for recording entries in the trial balance
A03: Two marks for correctly calculating the suspense balance.
Teemu
Trial Balance as at 31 December 2020

|  | Dr | Cr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £ | £ |
| Equipment (cost) | 4000 |  |
| Equipment- Provision for depreciation |  | 1600 |
| Sundry expenses | 1900 |  |
| Wages | 26330 |  |
| Inventory | 6750 |  |
| Purchases | 52000 |  |
| Revenue |  | 89000 |
| Equipment maintenance | 1120 |  |
| Discount allowed | 300 |  |
| Discount received |  | 730 |
| Bank (overdraft) |  | 700 |
| Electricity and water | 2800 |  |
| Trade receivables | 7800 |  |
| Trade payables |  | 4700 |
| Capital |  | 8000 |
| Drawings | 900 |  |
| Suspense | 830 (2)/1of) |  |
|  | AO3 |  |
|  | 104730 | 104730 |

(1) AO1 for each two correct entries $x 8$. Round up if uneven score.
(2) for Suspense Account balance
(b) AO1 (5)

A01: Five marks for identifying the type of error.
(1) Principle
(1) AO 1
(2) Reversal
(1) AO 1
(3) Commission
(1) AO 1
(4) Omission
(1) AO 1
(5) Original entry
(1) AO 1
(c) AO2 (16), AO3 (2)

A02: Sixteen marks for correct journal entry, name and number.
AO3: Two marks for suspense entries in compensating error.
General Journal

|  | Dr | Cr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £ | £ |
| Equipment maintenance/repairs | 470 (1) AO2 |  |
| Equipment |  | 470 (1) AO2 |
| Discount allowed | 120 (1) AO2 |  |
| Dominic |  | 120 (1) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
| Riaz | 290 (1) AO2 |  |
| Robin |  | 290 (1) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
| Tower Industries | 800 (1) AO2 |  |
| Revenue/Sales |  | 800 (1) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
| Collinge | 270 (1) AO2 |  |
| Purchases |  | 270 (1) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
| Bank | 50 (1) AO2 |  |
| Suspense |  | 50 (1) AO3 |
| Suspense | 50 (1) AO3 |  |
| Wages |  | 50 (1) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
| Bank | 950 (1) AO2 |  |
| Suspense |  | 950 (1) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
| Suspense | 120 (1) AO2 |  |
| Bank |  | 120 (1) AO2 |

(d) AO1 (1): AO2 (4)

A01: One mark for transferring balance from trial balance
AO2: Four marks for journal transfers and details
Suspense Account

| Date | Details | $£$ | Date | Details | $£$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| 31 Dec | Balance | $830(10 f)$ <br> AO1 | 31 Dec |  |  |
|  | Wages | $50(\mathbf{1 )}$ AO2 |  | Bank | 50 (1) AO2 |
|  | Bank | $120(\mathbf{1 )}$ AO2 |  | Bank | $950(\mathbf{1 )}$ AO2 |
|  |  | $\underline{1000}$ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{1000}}$ |
|  |  | $\underline{ }$ |  |  | $\underline{ }$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ignore aliens. Accept reasonable narratives.
(e) AO2 (5),

A02: Five marks for calculating the closing balance

|  | $£$ | $£$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Opening balance (overdraft) |  | $(700)$ |
| Plus |  |  |
| Sundry expenses | $50(1) \mathrm{AO2}$ |  |
| Richard | $950(1) \mathrm{AO2}$ |  |
|  |  | $\underline{1000}$ |
|  |  | 300 |
| Less | $120(1) \mathrm{AO2}$ |  |
| Drawings |  | $\underline{120}$ |
|  |  | $\underline{\mathrm{AO2}}(\mathbf{2 / 1 o f})$ |
| Closing balance |  |  |

Ignore aliens. No narratives required.

If in account format allow Dr as plus and Cr as minus

| 2(f) | AO1 (1), AO2 (1), AO3 (5), AO4 (5) <br> A01: One marks for knowing identifying positive and negative aspects of this <br> advice. <br> A02: One marks for applying positive or negative aspects of this advice. <br> A03: Five marks for interpreting and analysing the advice. <br> A04: Five marks for evaluating the scenario counter balancing the arguments <br> giving weight to a range of financial and non-financial aspects to arrive at a <br> logical conclusion. <br> Potential arguments for ICT <br> Double entry will be completed automatically. <br> Calculations will be made by the computer and will be accurate. <br> There will be no errors which will affect the balancing of the trial balance, such <br> as those single entry and requiring a suspense account. <br> Audit trail can be kept. <br> Potential arguments against ICT <br> Cost and updating of system to ensure that software operates correctly, using <br> latest version. <br> Inputting errors will still occur resulting in incorrect accounts. <br> Considerable staff training to ensure accurate inputting for the use of ICT. <br> Errors not affecting the balancing of the trial balance such as errors of <br> commission and omission may still occur. <br> Decision <br> Candidates may conclude that ICT is positive or negative. Candidates <br> conclusion should be supported by an appropriate rationale. <br> NOT General advantages and disadvantages of ICT$\quad$(12) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |
| Level 1 | $1-3$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding recall based. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. <br> Generic assertions may be present. |
| Level 2 | $4-6$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Chains of reasoning are present, but may be incomplete or invalid. <br> A generic or superficial assessment is present. |
| Level 3 | $7-9$ | Accurate and thorough understanding, supported throughout by relevant <br> application to the scenario. <br> Some analytical perspectives are present, with developed chains of reasoning, <br> showing causes and/or effects. <br> An attempt at an assessment is presented, using financial and non-financial <br> information, in an appropriate format and communicates reasoned explanations |
| Level 4 | $10-12$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding, supported throughout by <br> relevant and effective application to the scenario. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects. <br> Assessment is balanced, wide ranging and well contextualised using financial and <br> non-financial information and makes informed recommendations and decisions. |

(Total: 5 marks)

## SECTION B

## 3(a) AO1 (4)

A01: Four marks for explaining the terms

Going concern
It is assumed that the business will continue to operate (1) AO1 for an indefinite period of time. (1) AO1

Business entity
The business is treated as a completely separate entity (1) AO1 from the owner. (1) AO1
(4)
(b) AO2 (6)

A02: Six marks for calculating correct figure and inclusion in correct section.

Departmental Trading Account for the year ended 31 December 2020

|  | Food | Magazines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £ | £ |
| Revenue | 65000 | 50000 |
| less |  |  |
| Opening inventory | 3500 | 5000 |
| Purchases | 46500 | 44000 |
| Purchase returns | (- ) | (1000) |
|  | 50000 (1) AO2 | 48000 (1) AO2 |
| Closing inventory | (3000) | (5 500) |
| Cost of sales | 47000 (1of) AO2 | 42500 (1of) AO2 |
| Gross profit | $\underline{\underline{18000}}$ (1of) AO2 | 7500 (1of) AO2 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

(c) AO1 (3), AO2 (4), AO3 (3)

A01: Three marks for transferring balances correctly
A02: Four marks for calculating correct figure
A03: Three marks for using correct formula and correct process.

Food $65000 \times \underline{30}$ (1) AO2= 19500 (1) AO2- 18000 (1of) AO1= 1500 (1of) AO3
100
Magazines 50000 (1) AO1 x 20 (1) AO3= 10000 (1) AO2-7500(1of) AO1 = 2500 (1of) AO3 100
Total 2500 of +1500 of $=4000$ (1of) AO2
(d) AO1 (2), AO2 (2)

A01: Two marks for transferring balance and calculating total annual cost A02: Two marks for calculating correct annual capital cost.

## $f$

Capital cost $9000+2000=11000$ (1) AO2 divided by $5=2200$ (1) AO2
Annual cost
1500 (1) AO1
3700 (1) AO1
(e) AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3)

## Own figure rule applies

Positive points for purchase of the security system
There is a net saving on losses by purchasing the system but this is small.
A security system could deter theft.

Negative points against the purchase of the security system
Capital outlay of $£ 11000$ has to be found, this will impact on cash flow.
Ongoing maintenance costs may rise.
The potential saving is low.

## Decision

Candidates may conclude that the purchase of the security system is/ is not beneficial. Candidates should support that decision with an appropriate rationale.

| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |
| Level 1 | $1-2$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |
| Level 2 | $3-4$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes <br> and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. <br> An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information, with a decision. |
| Level 3 | $5-6$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario <br> is relevant and effective. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information and an appropriate decision is made. |

## 4.(a) AO1 (2)

A01: Two marks for explaining the meaning of the term

Capital expenditure is the money spent by the business in purchasing, improving or extending non-current assets (1) AO1 Costs include, carriage and installation/ will last for more than one year/ non recurrent/long term. (1) AO1
(b) A01 (4)

## A01: Four marks for identifying the category

(i) Capital (1) AO1
(ii) Revenue (1) AO1
(iii) Revenue (1) AO1
(iv) Capital (1) AO1
(c) AO1 (3), AO2 (12), AO3 (3)

A01: Three marks for transferring balance and calculating simple balances
A02: Twelve marks for correct entries
AO3: Three marks for correct entry in motor vehicle account and balances brought down
(i) Motor Vehicle Account

| Date | Details | $£$ | Date | Details | $£$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| 1 Jan | Balance b/d | 80000 | 1 April | Disposal | 10000 (1)AO2 |
| 1 May | Part exchange/bank | 52000 (1)AO2 | 1 May | Disposal | 30000 (1)AO2 |
|  |  | $\underline{\underline{132000}}$ | 31 Dec | Balance c/d | $\underline{92000}$ |
|  |  | 92000  <br> 2021  | (1of)AO2 |  |  |
| 1 Jan | Balance b/d |  |  | No aliens |  |

(ii)

Motor Vehicle - Provision for Depreciation Account

| Date | Details | $£$ | Date | Details | $f$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  | $£$ |
| 1 April | Disposal | 2000 <br> (1)AO2 | 1 Jan | Balance b/d | 18000 |
| 1 May | Disposal | 9000 <br> $(1) A O 3$ | 31 Dec | Income <br> statement/ | 9200 <br> (1of)AO3 |
| 31 Dec | Balance c/d | $\underline{16200}$ |  | Depreciation |  |
|  |  | $\underline{\underline{27200}}$ |  |  | $\underline{\underline{27200}}$ |
| 2021 |  |  | 2021 |  | 16200 (1of) <br> AO1 |
|  | No aliens |  | 1 Jan | Balance b/d |  |

(iii)

Motor Vehicles Disposal Account

| Date | Details | £ | Date | Details | £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| 1 April | Motor vehicles | 10000 (1)AO2 | 1 April | Provision for dep'n | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { (1of)AO2 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 May | Motor vehicles | 30000 Both |  | Bank | 4500 (1)AO2 |
|  |  |  | 1 May | Provision for dep'n | $\begin{gathered} 9000 \\ \text { (1of)AO2 } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Part exchange | 12500 (1)AO2 |
|  |  | -_ |  | Income statement | $\frac{12000}{\mathrm{AO} 3} \text { (1of) }$ |
|  |  | 40000 |  |  | 40000 |

Income statement $£ 12000$ of only if last entry on credit side.
(iv)

Motor Vehicles Maintenance Account

| Date | Details | $£$ | Date | Details | $£$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2020 |  |  | 2020 |  |  |
| Year | Trade payables/ | 16300 (1) AO1 | 1 Jan | Balance b/d | 2400 (1) <br> AO2 |
|  | Bank/ Cash |  | 31 Dec | Income <br> statement | 12400 (1) <br> AO2 |
|  |  | $\underline{16300}$ |  | Balance c/d | $\underline{1500}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\underline{\underline{16300}}$ |  |
| 2021 |  | 1500 (1) AO1 |  |  |  |
| 1 Jan | Balance b/d |  |  |  |  |

## AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3)

Positive points for the current straight-line method
This method does not distort profits, as the same value of depreciation is allowed each year.
Method reflects equal benefit received from the motor vehicles in each year.
Negative points against the straight-line method
Losses incurred on the motor vehicles sold suggest that the $10 \%$ is inadequate.
The value of motor vehicles tends to fall sharply in the early years. Straight line may be inappropriate to reflect an accurate carrying value in the books.
The total cost of owning the motor vehicle rises each year when depreciation and repair costs are added together.
Profits may be overstated in the early years. Prudent to use Reducing balance.

## Decision

Candidates may conclude that the straight-line method is/is not appropriate Candidates should support that decision with an appropriate rationale.
NOT

Easier to calculate/ understand

| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |
| Level 1 | $1-2$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |
| Level 2 | $3-4$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes <br> and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. <br> An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information, with a decision. |
| Level 3 | $5-6$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario <br> is relevant and effective. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information and an appropriate decision is made. |

## (6)

| Q4 | Total marks | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

5 (a)AO1 (4),
A01: Four marks for explaining the two reasons for the use of apportionment.

- Where a single cost relates to a number of departments (1) AO1 and cannot be directly allocated. The cost must be apportioned on the most reasonable basis (1) AO1 e.g floor area,
- To calculate the total overhead cost for a department (1) AO1 and enable the recovery of those costs through a machine or labour hour rate/ identify and reduce cost. (1) AO1
- To enable pricing of customers jobs (1) AO1 to sell the services of the business. (1) AO1
(b) AO2 (3)

A02: Three marks for calculating correct figure
$40 \times 50=2000$ (1) AO2 x 65\% = 1300
(1) $\mathrm{AO} 2 \times 5=6500$
(1) AO2
(c) A01 (2)

A01: Two marks for identifying activities

- Travelling to jobs
- Ordering or collecting materials
- Completing paperwork
- Training
- Remedial work for jobs not completed correctly.

NOT Holiday, Leisure time
$2 \times(1)$ AO1
(d) AO1 (1), AO2 (3),

A01: One mark for totalling quotation
A02: Three marks for calculating correct figures

## Job 73

|  | $£$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Materials | $80+15 \%$ | 92 | (1) AO2 |
| Labour | $10 \times 12$ | 120 | (1) AO2 |
| Overheads | $15 \times 12$ | $\underline{180}$ | (1) AO2 |
|  |  | $\underline{\underline{392}}$ | (1of) AO1 All 3 elements present |

(e) AO1 (2), AO2 (6), AO3 (3)

A01: Two marks for adding up total profit
A02: Six marks for calculating correct figure and inclusion in correct section.
AO3: Three marks for labour and overheads profit and formula for materials.
(i) $£ 9200 \times \underline{15}(1) \mathrm{AO}=£ 1200$ (1) AO 2 115
(ii) 6700 (1) $\mathrm{AO} 2 \mathrm{x} £ 10=£ 67000$ (1) $\mathrm{AO} 2-£ 58000$ (1) $\mathrm{AO} 2=£ 9000$ (1) AO3
(iii) $6700 \times £ 15=£ 100500$ (1) AO2- $£ 104000$ (1) AO2 $=(£ 3500)(1) \mathrm{AO} 3$
(iv) $£ 1200+£ 9000-£ 3500=£ 6700$ profit (2/1of) AO1 All three elements

## (f) AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3)

Positive points for piecework
Output per operator would probably be increased and cost of a job decreased. Electricians may spend less time on non-productive activities.

Negative points against piecework
Quality of output may become an issue.
There will be difficulty of setting the rates because all jobs are different.
Slower workers may not be able to keep up and may leave.
Disagreements between workers may increase.
Accidents may increase.

## Decision

Candidates may conclude that piecework is/ is not beneficial. Candidates should support that decision with an appropriate rationale.

| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |
| Level 1 | $1-2$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |
| Level 2 | $3-4$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes <br> and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. <br> An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information, with a decision. |
| Level 3 | $5-6$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario <br> is relevant and effective. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information and an appropriate decision is made. |

(6)

Q5 $\quad$ Total marks
6(a) AO1 (4)
A01: Four marks for explaining the terms.
Liquidity
The ease and speed with which current assets (1) AO1 can be turned into cash. (1) AO1
The ability of the business to meet short term (1) AO1 debts. (1) AO1
Accept current assets less current liabilities. (1) AO1
MAX 2 marks
Capital employed
Total funds being used in the business. (1) AO2
Owners capital plus any long term liabilities. (1) AO2
(b) AO1 (5), AO2 (12), AO3 (3)

A01: Five marks for transferring balance to the correct ratio
A02: Twelve marks for calculating correct figure or including multiple entries
AO3: Three marks for correctly adjusting profit for interest or identifying effect of overdraft

|  | Alpha Retail | Zulu Shopping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £000 | £000 |
| Net profit for the year as a percentage of revenue | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{55}{500} \times 100=11 \%(1) \mathrm{AO2} \\ & 500 \text { (1) AO1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{10}{500}(1) \mathrm{AO} 1 \times 100=2 \%(1) \mathrm{AO} 2 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |
| Revenue to non-current assets | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{500}{120} \text { (1) AO1 }=4.17(1) \text { AO2 } \\ & \text { Accept 417\%/ } \\ & 4.17: 1 \quad \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \frac{500}{30}(1) \text { AO1 }=16.67 \text { (1) AO2 } \\ \text { Accept } \\ 1667 \% / 16.67: 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| percentage return on capital employed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{55+5}{(1)}(1) A O 3 \times 100=40 \% \\ & 50+100 \\ & A O 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{10 \times 100=12.5 \%(1) \mathrm{AO} 2}{80(1) \mathrm{AO} 1} \end{aligned}$ |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| current ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{40+30}{10+30}=1.75: 1 \text { (1) } \mathrm{AO} \text { (1) AO2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{35+35+5}{\text { AO2 }} \text { (1) AO3 }=3: 1 \text { (1) } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| liquid (acid test) ratio | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{30}{10+30}=0.75: 1 \text { (1) (1) AO2 } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{35+5}{25}(1) \mathrm{AO} 2=1.6: 1 \text { (1) AO2 }$ |

Accept reasonable rounding
(c) AO2 (1), AO3 (2), AO4 (3)

## OWN FIGURE RULE APPLIES

| Alpha Retail | Zulu Shopping |
| :---: | :---: |
| Positive | Positive |
| The business owns its own premises. <br> The net profit is considerably greater. <br> The net profit as a percentage of revenue is better and acceptable at 11 \%. <br> ROCE at $40 \%$ is very good. <br> Liquidity is good and within the accepted 'yardsticks' of 1.4:1 and 0.7:1 | The business rents its premises so may be more flexible e.g ability to move. <br> The business gets greater revenue for every $£$ of non-current assets at 16.67. <br> The return on capital employed is good at 12.5\%. |
| Negative | Negative |
| The business has an overdraft and long term debt. | Liquidity is high/safer at 3:1 and 1.6:1 and there seems to be an element of idle funds. <br> The business rents its premises which will be subject to rent increases in the future. |

## Decision

Candidates may conclude that the Alpha Retail or Zulu Shopping should be purchased.
Candidates should support that decision with an appropriate rationale.

| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | A completely incorrect response. |
| Level 1 | $1-2$ | Isolated elements of knowledge and understanding which are recall based. <br> Generic assertions may be present. <br> Weak or no relevant application to the scenario set. |
| Level 2 | $3-4$ | Elements of knowledge and understanding, which are applied to the scenario. <br> Some analysis is present, with developed chains of reasoning, showing causes <br> and/or effects applied to the scenario, although these may be incomplete or invalid. <br> An attempt at an evaluation is presented, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information, with a decision. |
| Level 3 | $5-6$ | Accurate and thorough knowledge and understanding. Application to the scenario <br> is relevant and effective. <br> A coherent and logical chain of reasoning, showing causes and effects is present. <br> Evaluation is balanced and wide ranging, using financial and perhaps non-financial <br> information and an appropriate decision is made. |

